arXiv:1604.02846v1 [math.FA] 11 Apr 2016

The space $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'$ of distributions vanishing at infinity – duals of tensor products

E. A. Nigsch*, N. Ortner†

April 11, 2016

Abstract

Analogous to L. Schwartz' study of the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ of semi-regular distributions we investigate the topological properties of the space $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})$ of semi-regular vanishing distributions and give representations of its dual and of the scalar product with this dual. In order to determine the dual of the space of semi-regular vanishing distributions we generalize and modify a result of A. Grothendieck on the duals of $E\widehat{\otimes}F$ if E and F are quasi-complete and F is not necessarily semi-reflexive.

Keywords: semi-regular vanishing distributions, duals of tensor products

MSC2010 Classification: 46A32, 46F05

1 Introduction

L. Schwartz investigated, in his theory of vector-valued distributions [24, 25], several subspaces of the space $\mathcal{D}'_{xy} = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^m_y)$ that are of the type $\mathcal{D}'_x(E_y)$ where E_y is a distribution space.

Three prominent examples are:

*Wolfgang-Pauli-Institut, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria. e-mail: eduard.nigsch@univie.ac.at. Corresponding author.

†Institut für Mathematik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstraße 13, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria.

(i) $\mathcal{D}'_x(\mathcal{S}'_y)$ – the space of semi-temperate distributions, for which the partial Fourier transform is defined [24, p. 123];

(ii) $\mathcal{D}'_x(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y})$ – the space of partially summable distributions, for which the convolution of kernels is defined [7, §2, p. 546], as a generalization of the convolvability condition for two distributions [24, pp. 131,132];

(iii) $\mathcal{D}'_x(\mathcal{E}_y)$ – the space of semi-regular distributions (see [23] and [24, p. 99]).

In this paper we will be concerned with the space $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})$ of "semi-regular vanishing" distributions.

Notation and Preliminaries. We will mostly build on notions from [26, 6, 24, 25]. $\mathcal{D}'(E)$ is defined as $\mathcal{D}'\,\varepsilon\,E$, which space coincides with $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E = \mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}_\pi E =: \mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}E$ in the 3 examples above. If E, F are two locally convex spaces then $E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$, $E\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ and $E\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F$ denote the completion of their projective, injective, and inductive tensor product, respectively; writing $\widehat{\otimes}$ in place of $\widehat{\otimes}$ means that we take the quasi-completion instead. The subscript β in $E \otimes_\beta F$ [25, p. 12, 2°] refers to the finest locally convex topology on $E\otimes F$ for which the canonical injection $E\times F \to E\otimes F$ is hypocontinuous with respect to bounded sets. Given a locally convex space E, E'_b denotes its strong dual, E'_σ its weak dual and E'_c its dual with the topology of uniform convergence on absolutely convex compact sets. In absence of any of these designations, E' carries the strong dual topology. For the definition of $\overline{D}(E)$ see [24, p. 63] and [22, p. 94]. $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'$ is the space of distributions vanishing at infinity, i.e., the closure of $\mathcal{E}'$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}$ [26, p. 200]. $\mathcal{N}(E,F)$ and $\mathcal{Co}(E,F)$ denote the space of nuclear and compact linear operators $E \to F$, respectively. The normed space E_U for an absolutely convex zero-neighborhood U in E is introduced in [6, Chap. I, p. 7], with associated canonical mapping $\Phi_U\colon E \to \widehat{E}_U$. $\mathcal{L}(E,F)$ is the space of continuous linear mappings $E \to F$. By $\mathcal{B}^s(E,F)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ we denote the spaces of separately continuous and continuous bilinear forms $E \times F \to \mathbb{C}$, respectively, and $\mathcal{B}^h(E,F)$ is the space of separately hypocontinuous bilinear forms; for any of these spaces, the index ε denotes the topology of bi-equicontinous convergence.

Motivation. In order to prove, e.g., the equivalence of $S(x-y)T(y) \in \mathcal{D}'_x(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y})$ for two distributions S, T (which means, by definition, that $S, T \in$

$\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are convolvable) to the inequality

$$\forall K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ compact } \exists C > 0 \; \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0$$
$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \text{ with } \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : x + y \in K\} :$$
$$|\langle \varphi(x,y), S(x)T(y) \rangle| \leq C \sup_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha \varphi\|_\infty ,$$

it is advantagous to know of a "predual" of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1})$, i.e., the space $\overline{\mathcal{D}}\,(\dot{\mathcal{B}}) = \varinjlim_K (\mathcal{D}_K \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota \dot{\mathcal{B}}$ for which $(\overline{\mathcal{D}}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))'_b = \mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ [7, Prop. 3, p. 541]. A "predual" of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{S}')$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1})$ can easily be found by Corollary 3 in [24, p. 104], which states that $(\overline{\mathcal{D}}(E))'_b = \mathcal{D}'(E')$ if E is a Fréchet space. A "predual" of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ is the space $\mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{E}'$ (see Propositions 1 and 2).

In the memoir [23] L. Schwartz investigated the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ of semi-regular distributions. For reasons of comparison we present the main features thereof in Section 2, i.e., in Proposition 1 properties of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$, in Proposition 2 the dual and a "predual" of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ and in Proposition 3 an explicit expression for the scalar product of $K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}'_x(\mathcal{E}_y)$ with $L(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{E}'_y$. These propositions generalize the corresponding propositions in [23] and new proofs are given.

In [15] we found the condition

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D} : (\varphi * \check{S})T \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}' \tag{1}$$

for two distributions S, T, in order that $(\partial_j S) * T = S * (\partial_j T)$ under the assumption that $(\partial_j S, T)$ and $(S, \partial_j T)$ are convolvable (see also [9, p. 559]). The equivalence of (1) and

$$S(x-y)T(y) \in \mathcal{D}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y) \tag{2}$$

is proven in [16]. Due to the regularization property

$$S \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}' \Longleftrightarrow S(x-y) \in \mathcal{D}'_y(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_x)$$

for a distribution S [26, remarque 3°, p. 202] we are motivated to investigate the space $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})$ of "semi-regular vanishing distributions" analogously to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ in [23], i.e.,

- to state properties of $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})$ in Proposition 4,
- to determine the dual of $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})$ in Proposition 5,
- to express explicitly the scalar product in Proposition 6, and

- to determine the transpose of the regularization mapping $\dot{\mathcal{B}}' \to \mathcal{D}'_y(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_x)$, $S \mapsto S(x-y)$ in Proposition 7.

Duals of tensor products. Looking for $(\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))'_b$ we make use of the following duality result of A. Grothendieck which allows – in contrast to the corresponding propositions in [12, §45, 3.(1), p. 301, §45, 3.(5), p. 302, §45, 3.(7), p. 304], [10, 16.1.7, p. 346], [20, IV, 9.9, p. 175; Corollary 1, p. 176; Ex. 32, pp. 198, 199] and [3, §4, Satz 1, p. 212] – to determine the duals of tensor products $E \otimes F$ in cases where E and F are of "different nature":

> [6, Chap. II, §4, n°1, Lemme 9, Corollaire, p. 90]: Let E and F be complete locally convex spaces, E nuclear, F semireflexive. If the strong dual $(E\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b$ is complete then $(E\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b = E'_b\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'_b$.

Note that for our example in the introduction the assumption of semireflexivity is not fulfilled. Nevertheless we reach the conclusion by observing that

$$(\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))'_b = (\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{B}_c))'_b,$$

$\mathcal{B}_c$ being the semireflexive space $\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}$ endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ [26, p. 203] which also can be described by seminorms [17, Prop. 1.3.1, p. 11]. Therefore, in Section 4, we prove a generalization of Grothendieck's Corollary and a modification which applies to semi-reflexive locally convex Hausdorff spaces F such that the completeness of $(E\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b$ can be shown by the existence of a space F_0 such that $(E\widehat{\otimes}F_0)'_b$ is complete and $(E\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b = (E\widehat{\otimes}F_0)'_b$.

The kernel identity for $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'$. There is yet another condition equivalent to (1) and (2):

$$\delta(z-x-y)S(x)T(y) \in \mathcal{D}'_z\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} \tag{3}$$

which is nothing else than

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ compact } \exists C>0\ \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0\\ &\qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \text{ with } \operatorname{supp}\varphi \subseteq \{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^{2n} : x+y \in K\}:\\ &\qquad\qquad |\langle \varphi(x,y), S(x)T(y)\rangle| \le C \sup_{|\alpha|\le m} \|\partial^\alpha \varphi\|_1 .\end{aligned}$$

This equivalence can be shown by the use of the "kernel identity"

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y)$$

which we prove in Section 5 (Proposition 10). For similar identities see [24, Prop. 17, p. 59; Prop. 28, p. 98] and [6, Chap. I, Cor. 4, p. 61; Ex., p. 90].

A preliminary version of this paper was presented in two talks in Vienna and in Innsbruck by N. O.

2 Semi-regular distributions.

Whereas L. Schwartz stated that the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ is semireflexive [23, p. 110] we prove

Proposition 1 (Properties of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$)**.** *The space of semi-regular distributions* $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ *is*

(i) nuclear,

(ii) ultrabornological and

(iii) reflexive.

Proof. (i) The nuclearity follows by Grothendieck's permanence result in [6, Chap. II, §2, n° 2, Théorème 9, 3°, p. 47] (see also [23, p. 110]).

(ii) M. Valdivia's sequence space repesentation of $\mathcal{O}_M$ [28, Theorem 3, p. 478] and [6, Chap. I, §1, n°3, Proposition 6, 1°, p. 46] yield the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{E} \cong s'^{\mathbb{N}}\widehat{\otimes}s^{\mathbb{N}} \cong (s'\widehat{\otimes}s)^{\mathbb{N}} \cong \mathcal{O}_M^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

By [6, Chap. II, §4, n°4, Théorème 16, p. 131], $\mathcal{O}_M$ is ultrabornological and, hence, also $\mathcal{O}_M^{\mathbb{N}}$, by [14, Folgerung 5.3.8, p. 106] (see also [10, 13.5.3, p. 281] or [11, §28, 8.(6), p. 392]).

(iii) The semi-reflexivity of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ by [6, Chap. II, §3, n°2, Proposition 13, p. 76] (see also [23, p. 110]) and the barrelledness of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ by (ii) yield the reflexivity of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$. □

In [23], the dual of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ is described by the representation of its elements as finite sums of derivatives with respect to y of functions $g(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}^0_{y,c}$ [23, Proposition 1, p. 112]. Thus, $(\mathcal{D}'_x(\mathcal{E}_y))' = \varinjlim_m (\mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{E}'^m_{c,y})$ algebraically. Note that L. Schwarz asserts on the one hand $(\mathcal{D}'_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{E}_y)' = \varinjlim_m (\mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{E}'^m_y)$ in [23, Prop. 1, p. 112], whereas we find, on the other hand, $(\mathcal{D}'_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{E}_y)' =$

$\varinjlim_m (\mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}'^m_{c,y})$ in [23, Corollaire 1, p. 116]. It can be shown that the isomorphism is also a topological one. Other representations of the dual of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ are given in:

Proposition 2 (Dual of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$)**.** *We have linear topological isomorphisms*

$$(\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E}))'_b \cong \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{E}' \tag{4}$$

and linear isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E}))' &\cong \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}';\varepsilon) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}';\beta) &(5)\\ &\cong \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{D};\varepsilon) = \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{D};\beta) &(6)\\ &\cong \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{E}') = \mathscr{C}o(\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{E}')\\ &\cong \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{D}) = \mathscr{C}o(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{D}).\end{aligned}$$

For the notation $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}';\varepsilon)$ or $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}';\beta)$ see [25, p. 54].

Proof. The first isomorphism of (4) results from the Corollary cited in the introduction: the 5 hypotheses are fulfilled due to Proposition 1 (ii). The equality $E \otimes_\beta F = E \otimes_\iota F$ is a consequence of the barrelledness of E and F, in the case above: $E = \mathcal{D}$, $F = \mathcal{E}'$.

The isomorphisms (5) and (6) follow by [25, Proposition 22, p. 103].

The coincidence of nuclear and compact linear operators is a consequence of [6, Chap. II, §2, n°1, Corollaire 4, 1°, p. 39] and the semi-Montel property of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}'$. □

Proposition 3 (Existence and uniqueness of the scalar product)**.** *There is one and only one scalar product*

$$\begin{matrix}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_x \\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_y\end{matrix} : (\mathcal{E}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{D}_y) \times (\mathcal{E}_x \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'_y) \to \mathbb{C}$$

which is partially continuous and coincides on $(\mathcal{E}'_x \otimes \mathcal{D}_y) \times (\mathcal{E}_x \otimes \mathcal{D}'_y)$ *with the product* ${}_{\mathcal{E}_x}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{E}'_x} \cdot {}_{\mathcal{D}_y}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_y}$*, i.e., for decomposed elements* $(S(x)\otimes\varphi(y), \psi(x)\otimes T(y))$ *we have*

$$\begin{matrix}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_x \\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_y\end{matrix}\big(S(x)\otimes\varphi(y), \psi(x)\otimes T(y)\big) = {}_{\mathcal{E}_x}\big\langle\psi(x), S(x)\big\rangle_{\mathcal{E}'_x} \cdot {}_{\mathcal{D}_y}\big\langle\varphi(y), T(y)\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_y}.$$

Proof. A *first* proof is given in [23, Proposition 1, p. 112], by means of the explicit representation of the elements of the strong dual $\mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{E}'$ of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E})$ hinted at before Proposition 2. The uniqueness can be presumed because L. Schwartz uses the word "*le* produit scalaire".

A *second* proof consists in applying the "Théorèmes de croisement", i.e., [25, Proposition 2, p. 18]: existence, uniqueness and partial continuity of the scalar product follow.

A *third* proof follows by composition of the vectorial scalar product

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle\colon \mathcal{E}_x(\mathcal{D}'_y)\times(\mathcal{E}'_x\widehat{\otimes}_\beta\mathcal{D}_y)\to\mathcal{D}'_y\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}_y=\mathcal{D}'_y\widehat{\otimes}_\beta\mathcal{D}_y$$

[25, Proposition 10, p. 57] with the scalar product

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_y\colon \mathcal{D}'_y\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}_y\to\mathbb{C}.$$

□

3 "Semi-regular vanishing" distributions

Proposition 4 (Properties of $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c$)**.** *The space of "semi-regular vanishing" distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})=\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ is ultrabornological but not semireflexive. $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{B}_c)=\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c$ is semireflexive but not bornological.*

Proof. The non-semireflexivity and the semireflexivity, respectively, are consequences of Grothendieck's permanence result [6, Chap. II, §3, n°2, Proposition 13 e., p. 76] due to the corresponding properties of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_c$, respectively.

The sequence space representations

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}\cong c_0\widehat{\otimes}s,\qquad \mathcal{O}_M\cong s\widehat{\otimes}s',\qquad \mathcal{D}'\cong {s'}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

(see [29, Theorem 3.2, p. 415; Theorem 5.3, p. 427] and [28, Theorem 3, p. 478]) show that

$$\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}\cong {s'}^{\mathbb{N}}\widehat{\otimes}(s\widehat{\otimes}c_0)\cong\mathcal{O}_M^{\mathbb{N}}\widehat{\otimes}c_0.$$

$\mathcal{O}_M^{\mathbb{N}}$ is ultrabornological (as seen in the proof of Proposition 1 (ii)), nuclear, and by [6, Chap. II, §2, n°2, Théorème 9, 2°, p. 47] also its dual $\mathcal{O}_M'^{(\mathbb{N})}$ is nuclear, such that the bornologicity of $\mathcal{O}_M^{\mathbb{N}}\widehat{\otimes}c_0$ follows by [27, Proposition 2, p. 75].

To show that $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{B}_c)$ is not bornological we have to find a linear form K in $(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)^*$, the algebraic dual of $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c$, which is locally bounded (i.e.,

it maps bounded subsets of $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c$ into bounded sets of complex numbers) but not continuous. Because $\mathcal{B}_c$ is not bornological there exists $T \in (\mathcal{B}_c)^*$ which is locally bounded but such that $T \notin (\mathcal{B}_c)'$. Fixing any $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\varphi_0(0) = 1$, we define K by $K(u) := T(u(\varphi_0))$ for $u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_c)$. Then K is locally bounded but not continuous. In fact, taking a net $(f_\nu)_\nu \to 0$ in $\mathcal{B}_c$ such that $T(f_\nu)$ does not converge to zero, we define a net $(u_\nu)_\nu$ in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}_c)$ by $u_\nu(\varphi) := \varphi(0) f_\nu$. Then $u_\nu \to 0$, but $K(u) = T(u_\nu(\varphi_0)) = T(f_\nu)$ does not converge to zero. □

Analogously to the explicit description of the elements in $(\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{E}))'_b$, cited before Proposition 2, let us represent the elements of $(\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))'$:

Proposition 5′ (Dual of $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})$)**.** *If $K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}'_{xy}$ we have the characterization*

$$\begin{aligned} K(x,y) \in (\mathcal{D}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y))' \iff \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \; \exists g_\alpha(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes} L^1_y, \\ |\alpha| \le m, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \text{ such that} \\ K(x,y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \partial_y^\alpha g_\alpha(x,y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))' = \varinjlim_m (\mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1})$ *algebraically.*

Furthermore, $(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})' = (\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)'$ *algebraically.*

$\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1}$ is the strong dual of the Banach space $\dot{\mathcal{B}}^m$ [22, p. 99]. Note that $\mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}E = \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota E$ for a Banach space E because separately continuous bilinear forms on $\mathcal{D} \times E$ are continuous.

Proof of Proposition 5′. The following proof is a copy of the proof of [23, Proposition 1, p. 112].

"$\Longrightarrow$": If $K(x,y) \in (\mathcal{D}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y))' = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}'_x, \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y})$ (for the equality, see [25, Prop. 22, p. 103] and [6, Chap. II, §2, n°1, Corollaire 4 1., p. 39]) there exist a bounded sequence $(\varphi_\nu)_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{D}_x$, a bounded sequence $(T_\nu)_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}$ and a sequence $(\lambda_\nu)_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1$ such that we have for $S \in \mathcal{D}'_x$

$$\langle K(x,y), S(x)\rangle = \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu \langle \varphi_\nu(x), S(x)\rangle T_\nu(y).$$

The boundedness of $(T_\nu)_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \; \exists D > 0 \; \exists f_{\nu,\alpha} \in L^1, \nu \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \le m, \\ \text{with } \|f_{\nu,\alpha}\|_1 \le D \text{ such that } T_\nu = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \partial^\alpha f_{\nu,\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

[26, Remarque 2°, p. 202] and, hence,

$$\langle K(x,y), S(x)\rangle = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \partial_y^\alpha \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu \langle \varphi_\nu, S\rangle f_{\nu,\alpha}(y) \tag{7}$$

and $K = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \partial_y^\alpha g_\alpha$ if we set $g_\alpha(x,y) \coloneqq \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu(x)\cdot f_{\nu,\alpha}(y)$. In order to see that $g_\alpha(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes} L^1_y$ it suffices to show that for $S(x) \in \mathcal{D}'_x$ the sequence of partial sums

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \langle \varphi_\nu(x), S(x)\rangle f_{\nu,\alpha}(y), \quad N \in \mathbb{N},$$

converges in L^1 and that

$$\mathcal{D}'_x \to L^1_y, \quad S(x) \mapsto \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \langle \varphi_\nu(x), S(x)\rangle f_{\nu,\alpha}(y)$$

maps bounded sets of $\mathcal{D}'$ into bounded sets of L^1. This follows from the boundedness of (φ_ν) in $\mathcal{D}$.

"$\Longleftarrow$": If $K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}'_{xy}$ has the representation

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \partial_y^\alpha g_\alpha(x,y), \quad g_\alpha(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes} L^1_y,$$

then also $g_\alpha(x,y) \in \mathcal{E}_x \widehat{\otimes} L^1_y$.

By [6, Chap. I, §2, n°1, Théorème 1, 1°, p. 51] there exists $(\lambda_{\nu,\alpha})_\nu \in \ell^1$, a bounded sequence $(e_{\nu,\alpha})_\nu$ in $\mathcal{E}_x$ and a bounded sequence $(f_{\nu,\alpha})_\nu \in L^1$ such that

$$g_\alpha(x,y) = \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu f_{\nu,\alpha}(y) e_{\nu_\alpha}(x).$$

The compactness of the supports of g_α with respect to x [24, p. 62] implies the existence of a function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_x$ such that

$$g_\alpha(x,y) = \phi(x)\cdot g_\alpha(x,y), \ |\alpha| \le m.$$

Thus,

$$g_\alpha(x,y) = \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu e_{\nu,\alpha}(x)\phi(x) \otimes f_{\nu,\alpha}(y)$$

and

$$K(x,y) = \sum_\nu \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \lambda_\nu e_{\nu,\alpha}(x)\phi(x) \otimes \partial_y^\alpha f_{\nu,\alpha}(y).$$

Because $(e_{\nu_\alpha}(x)\phi(x))_{\nu,\alpha}$ is bounded (and hence equicontinuous) in $\mathcal{D}_x$ and $(\partial_y^\alpha f_{\nu,\alpha}(y))_{|\alpha|\le m;\nu}$ is an equicontinuous (i.e., bounded) subset of $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}$ this proves that $K \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}'_x, \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y})$.

In order to see that $(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})' \subseteq (\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)'$ (the converse inclusion is obvious) we return to equality (7). Then for each $f_\alpha := \sum_\nu |\lambda_\nu| \cdot |f_{\nu,\alpha}| \in L^1$ there exists by [17, Prop. 1.2.1, p. 6] a function $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_0$, $g_\alpha > 0$ such that $f_\alpha/g_\alpha \in L^1$. Then for any element S of the polar $U := \{\varphi_\nu\}^\circ$, which is a 0-neighborhood in $\mathcal{D}'$, and any $f \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\langle K(x,y), S(x)\rangle, f(y)\rangle &= \langle \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \partial_y^\alpha \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu \langle \varphi_\nu, S\rangle f_{\nu,\alpha}(y), f(y)\rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu \langle \varphi_\nu, S\rangle f_{\nu,\alpha}(y), \partial^\alpha f(y)\rangle \\
&= \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int \sum_\nu \lambda_\nu \langle \varphi_\nu, S\rangle f_{\nu,\alpha}(y) \partial^\alpha f(y)\, \mathrm{d}y
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle\langle K(x,y), S(x)\rangle, f(y)\rangle| &\le \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \int |g_\alpha(y)\partial^\alpha f(y)| \frac{f_\alpha(y)}{g_\alpha(y)}\, \mathrm{d}y \\
&\le \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \|g_\alpha \cdot \partial^\alpha f\|_\infty \int \frac{f_\alpha(y)}{g_\alpha(y)}\, \mathrm{d}y.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{\langle K(x,y), S(x)\rangle : S \in U\} \subseteq (\mathcal{B}_c)'$ is equicontinuous, which implies the claim. □

Proposition 5 (Dual of $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$)**.** *We have linear topological isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)'_b &\cong \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{D}'_{L^1} = \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{D}'_{L^1} \\
&\cong (\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and linear isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})' &\cong \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}; \varepsilon) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}; \beta) \\
&= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}) \\
&\cong \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,c}(\mathcal{D}; \varepsilon) = \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,c}(\mathcal{D}; \beta).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 5′ we have $(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})' = (\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)'$ algebraically. Furthermore,

$$(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b \cong (\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)'_b,$$

because $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ is distinguished: the bounded sets of $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c$ (and also of $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}$) are contained in the weak closure of bounded sets in $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$. Hence,

$$(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)'_b \cong (\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b.$$

Therefore, the first isomorphism in (8) results from Grothendieck's duality Corollary cited in the introduction [6, Chap. II, §4, n°1, Lemme 9, Corollaire, p. 90]: $\mathcal{D}'$ is complete and nuclear, $\mathcal{B}_c$ is complete [22, p. 101] and semireflexive (see [17, Proposition 1.3.1, p. 11] and [24, p. 126]) and $(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b$ is complete, due to Proposition 4. The equality of the ι- and the β-topology in the first line follows because $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ are barrelled spaces [25, p. 13]. □

Remark. *We obtain, by Propositions 5 and 5′, that* $(\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))'_b = \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ *equals* $\varinjlim_m(\mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1})$ *algebraically, which is a representation of the strong dual of* $\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}})$ *as a countable inductive limit.*

In fact, for $K \in (\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))'$ we conclude by the implication "⇒" above that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $g_\alpha(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}L^1_y$ with $K = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m}\partial^\alpha_y g_\alpha$. Hence, $K \in \mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1,y}$. In order to see $\mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1,y} \subset \mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}$ it suffices, due to "⇐" above, to show the implication

$$K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1} \Longrightarrow \exists g_\alpha(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}L^1_y \text{ with } K = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m}\partial^\alpha_y g_\alpha.$$

However, this implication is a consequence of

$$\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1} = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m}\partial^\alpha L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1,y} = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m}\partial^\alpha_y(\mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}L^1_y).$$

Proposition 6 (Existence and uniqueness of the scalar product)**.** *There is one and only one scalar product*

$$\begin{matrix}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_x \\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_y\end{matrix} : (\mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}_\beta\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}) \times (\mathcal{D}'_x\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y) \to \mathbb{C}$$

which is partially continuous and coincides on $(\mathcal{D}_x \otimes \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}) \times (\mathcal{D}'_x \otimes \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y)$ *with the product* ${}_{\mathcal{D}_x}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_x} \cdot {}_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y}$.

Proof. A *first* proof follows from the "Théorèmes de croisement" [25, Proposition 2, p. 18].

A *second* proof consists in the composition of the vectorial scalar product given by [25, Proposition 10, p. 57], i.e.,

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_\iota : (\mathcal{D}_x\widehat{\otimes}_\beta\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}) \times \mathcal{D}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y) \to \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y = \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}\widehat{\otimes}_\beta\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y,$$

and the scalar product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y \to \mathbb{C}$. □

Remark. *If* $K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}$ *has the representation*

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \partial_y^\alpha g_\alpha(x,y)$$

with $g_\alpha(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes} L^1_y$ *and if* $L(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_y)$ *then*

$$\begin{matrix} \langle\ ,\ \rangle_x \\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_y \end{matrix} \big(K(x,y), L(x,y)\big) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} {}_{\mathcal{D}'_x}\big\langle \partial_y^\alpha L(x,y), g_\alpha(x,y)\big\rangle_{\mathcal{D}_x} \mathrm{d}y.$$

We find a third expression for the scalar product by means of vector-valued multiplication and integration:

Proposition 6′ (Scalar product)**.** *If* $K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}$ *and* $L(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}'_x \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y$ *then the scalar product* $\begin{matrix} \langle\ ,\ \rangle_x \\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_y \end{matrix}$ *(Proposition 6) can also be expressed as*

$$\begin{matrix} \langle\ ,\ \rangle_x \\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_y \end{matrix} \big(K(x,y), L(x,y)\big) = {}_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,xy}}\big\langle K(x,y) \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot_x \\ \cdot_y \end{smallmatrix} L(x,y), 1(x,y)\big\rangle_{\mathcal{B}_{c,xy}},$$

wherein $\begin{smallmatrix} \cdot_x \\ \cdot_y \end{smallmatrix}$ *denotes the vectorial multiplicative product*

$$(\mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}) \times (\mathcal{D}'_x \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y) \to \mathcal{E}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}.$$

Proof. The vectorial multiplicative product $\begin{smallmatrix} \cdot_x \\ \cdot_y \end{smallmatrix}$ exists uniquely as the composition of the canonical mapping defined by the "Théorèmes de croisement" [25, Proposition 2, p. 18],

$$\mathrm{can}\colon (\mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}) \times (\mathcal{D}'_x \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y) \to (\mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{D}'_x)\ \varepsilon\ (\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y} \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y)$$

and the ε-product of the two multiplications

$$\mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \mathcal{D}'_x \xrightarrow{\cdot_x} \mathcal{E}'_x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y} \widehat{\otimes}_\beta \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y \xrightarrow{\cdot_y} \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y},$$

namely

$$K(x,y) \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot_x \\ \cdot_y \end{smallmatrix} L(x,y) = [(\cdot_x)\ \varepsilon\ (\cdot_y)] \circ \mathrm{can}\,.$$

Note that this vectorial multiplication coincides with that defined in [25, Proposition 32, p. 127]. Due to the uniqueness of the scalar product and the continuity of the embedding $\mathcal{E}'_x \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,xy}$ the result follows. □

Proposition 7 (Existence of the regularization mapping and representation of its transpose)**.** *The regularization mapping*

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}' \to \mathcal{D}'_y \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_x, \quad S \mapsto S(x-y)$$

is well-defined, linear, injective and continuous. Its transpose

$$\mathcal{D}_y \widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,x} \to \mathcal{D}_{L^1,c}$$

is linear, continuous and given by

$$K(x,y) \mapsto {}_{\mathcal{B}_{c,x}}\langle 1(x), K(x-y,x)\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,x}(\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,y})}.$$

Proof. 1. The well-definedness is L. Schwartz' classical regularization result [26, Remarque 3°, p. 202].

2. Due to the (sequentially) closed graph of the regularization mapping the continuity is implied by [6, Chap. I, Théorème B, p. 17], if $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'$ and $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ are ultrabornological. The sequence-space representation of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}' \cong c_0 \widehat{\otimes} s'$ [2, Theorem 3, p. 13] shows that $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'$ is ultrabornological if [27, Proposition 2, p. 75] is applied. The space $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ is ultrabornological by Proposition 4. Alternatively, for applying the closed graph theorem one can use that $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ has a completing web [19, p. 736].

The transpose of the regularization mapping is continuous by [8, Corollary to Proposition 3.12.3, p. 256].

3. The representation in Proposition 6′ yields for $K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}_x \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,y}$ and $S(y-x) \in \mathcal{D}'_x \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y$:

$$\begin{matrix}\langle\ ,\ \rangle_x \\ \langle\ ,\ \rangle_y\end{matrix}\big(K(x,y), S(y-x)\big) = {}_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,xy}}\big\langle K(x,y) \begin{smallmatrix}\cdot^x \\ \cdot_y\end{smallmatrix} S(y-x), 1(x,y)\big\rangle_{\mathcal{B}_{c,xy}}.$$

The linear change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} x &= v-u \quad & u &= y-x \\ y &= v & v &= y \end{aligned}$$

and the Theorem of Fubini [24, Corollary, pp. 136, 137] imply that the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\mathcal{B}_{c,uv}}\langle 1(u,v), K(v-u,v) \begin{smallmatrix}\cdot^u \\ \cdot_v\end{smallmatrix} (S(u)\otimes 1(v))\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,uv}} \\ = {}_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_u}\langle S(u), {}_{\mathcal{B}_{c,v}}\langle 1(v), K(v-u,v)\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,v}(\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,u})}\rangle_{\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,u}} \end{aligned}$$

if we show that

$$K(v,u) \in \mathcal{D}_v \widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,u} \Longrightarrow K(v-u,v) \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,v}(\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,u}). \tag{9}$$

Then, the multiplicative product $K(v-u,v) \overset{\cdot u}{\underset{\cdot v}{\cdot}} (S(u) \otimes 1(v))$ is defined as the image of $(K(v-u,v), S(u) \otimes 1(v))$ under the mapping

$$\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,v}(\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,u}) \times \mathcal{B}_{c,v}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_u) \overset{\cdot u}{\underset{\cdot v}{\longrightarrow}} \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,v}(\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,c,u}).$$

It remains to prove the implication (9): a vectorial regularization property similar to [1, Proposition 15] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &K(v,u) \in \mathcal{D}_v \widehat{\otimes}_\iota \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,u} \subset \mathcal{D}_v \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,u} \\ \Longrightarrow\ &K(v-w,u) \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,u} \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}_{c,v} \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,w} \end{aligned}$$

because the convolution with $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ maps the space $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1,c}$ continuously into $\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c}$. The vector-valued multiplication $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1} \times \mathcal{B}_c(E) \overset{\cdot}{\to} \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}(E)$ by [25, Proposition 25, p. 120] then yields

$$K(v-u,v) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1,v} \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,u} = \mathcal{D}'_{L^1,v}(\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,u}). \qquad \square$$

4 On the duals of tensor products - two complements

The goal of this section is the formulation of propositions which yield, as special cases, the strong duals of the spaces $\mathcal{D}' \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ and $\mathcal{D}' \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}$. These spaces are the "endpoints" in the scale of reflexive spaces $\mathcal{D}' \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'_{L^p}$ and $\mathcal{D}' \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_{L^q}$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, the duals of which can be determined by the Corollaire [6, Chap. II, §4, n°1, Lemme 9, Corollaire, p. 90] cited in the introduction.

Proposition 8 (Dual of a completed tensor product)**.** *Let $\mathcal{H} = \varinjlim_k \mathcal{H}_k$ be the strict inductive limit of nuclear Fréchet spaces $\mathcal{H}_k$ and F the strong dual of a distinguished Fréchet space. Then*

$$(\mathcal{H}'_b \widehat{\otimes} F)'_b \cong \overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b) \coloneqq \varinjlim_k (\mathcal{H}_k(F'_b)).$$

The space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b)$ is a complete, strict (LF)-space and $\mathcal{H}'_b \widehat{\otimes} F$ is distinguished. If F is reflexive, $\mathcal{H}'_b \widehat{\otimes} F$ is reflexive, too.

Proof. By [25, Prop. 22, p. 103] we have algebraically

$$(\mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}F)' \cong F'_c(\mathcal{H};\varepsilon) = F'_c(\mathcal{H};\beta) = \varinjlim_k (F'_c(\mathcal{H}_k;\beta))$$

due to the reflexivity of $\mathcal{H}$ and due to the fact that a linear and continuous map $T\colon F \to \mathcal{H}$ is bounded if and only if there exists k and a 0-neighborhood U in F such that T maps into $\mathcal{H}_k$ and $T(U) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_k$ is bounded. Because F is the strong dual of a distinguished Fréchet space,

$$F'_c(\mathcal{H}_k;\beta) = F'_c(\mathcal{H}_k)$$

by [25, p. 98, b)]. Hence,

$$F'_c(\mathcal{H}_k) = \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon((\mathcal{H}_k)'_c, F'_c) \cong \mathcal{L}_c(F,\mathcal{H}_k) = F'_b\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_k$$

(see [6, Chap. I, p. 75]). All together,

$$(\mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}F)' = \varinjlim_k \mathcal{H}_k(F'_b) = \overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b).$$

The strong dual topology on $(\mathcal{H}'_b(F))'$ is finer than the topology of uniform convergence on products of bounded subsets of $\mathcal{H}'_b$ and F [25, Prop. 22, p. 103], i.e., the embedding $(\mathcal{H}'_b(F))'_b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F'_b$ is continuous.

In order to show the continuity of the map

$$\mathrm{Id}\colon \overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b) \to (\mathcal{H}'_b(F))'_b$$

we use an idea from the proof of [7, Prop. 3, p. 542]: it suffices that bounded sets in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b)$ are bounded in $(\mathcal{H}'_b(F))'_b$ because $\overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b)$ is bornological (note that F'_b is a Fréchet space by [5, p. 64], and that the inductive limit of the Fréchet spaces $\mathcal{H}_k\widehat{\otimes}F'_b = \mathcal{H}_k(F'_b)$ is bornological). If $H \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b)$ is bounded then by the regularity of the inductive limit there exists k such that H is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_k(F'_b)$. By [6, Chap. II, §3, n°1, Prop. 12, p. 73] there are bounded subsets $A \subseteq \mathcal{H}_k$ and $B \subseteq F'_b$ such that H is contained in the closed absolutely convex hull of $A \otimes B$. For each $T \in \mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}F \cong \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{H},F)$ the set $T(H)$ is contained in the closed absolutely convex hull of $T(A\otimes B) = \langle T(A), B\rangle$, which is bounded because $T(A) \subseteq F$ is bounded and B is equicontinuous. Hence, we see that H is weakly bounded and thus bounded in $(\mathcal{H}'_b(F))'_b$ due to the completeness of $\mathcal{H}'_b(F)$. □

Remark. *(1) The strong topology of $(\mathcal{H}'_b(F))'_b$ coincides with the topology induced by $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{H}'_b, F'_b) = \mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F'_b$. By [25, Prop. 22, p. 103] this is equivalent with saying that bounded subsets of $\mathcal{H}'_b(F) = \mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}F$ are β-β-decomposable.*

(2) *If the space F is the strong dual of a* reflexive *Fréchet space then $\mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}F$ is reflexive too, i.e.,*

$$(\mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b \cong \overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b)$$

and

$$(\overline{\mathcal{H}}(F'_b))'_b \cong \mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}F$$

(for the second part use a suitable generalization of [25, Corollaire 3, p. 104]). This assertion generalizes the reasoning in [13, p. 314, 4.2, p. 315].

(3) *The following list of distribution spaces illustrates possibilities of the applicability of Proposition 8:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_+, \mathcal{D}_-, \mathcal{D}_{+\Gamma} & \text{(cf. [25, p. 154, p. 186])}, \\ F : \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}, \mathcal{D}'^m_{L^1}, \ell^1, \mathcal{S}'^m, \mathcal{E}'^m & (m \in \mathbb{N}_0). \end{array}$$

One has to show that $\mathcal{S}^m$ and $\mathcal{E}^m$ are distinguished.

(4) *The dual of the space of partially summable distributions $\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ was given first in [7, Prop. 3(2), p. 541], i.e., $(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'_{L^1})'_b = \overline{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{B}$.*

By considering the proof of Proposition 5, i.e., $(\mathcal{D}'(\dot{\mathcal{B}}))'_b = (\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}_c)'_b = \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$, we were led to the following modification of A. Grothendieck's Corollary [6, Chap. II, §4, n°1, Lemme 9, Corollaire, p. 90] cited in the introduction.

Proposition 9 (Dual of a completed tensor product)**.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hausdorff, quasicomplete, nuclear, locally convex space with the strict approximation property, F a quasicomplete, semireflexive, locally convex space. Let F_0 be a locally convex space such that*

$$(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b = (\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F_0)'_b$$

and $(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F_0)'_b$ is complete. Then,

$$(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b \cong \mathcal{H}'_b\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'_b$$

and $(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b$ is semireflexive.

The *proof* is an immediate consequence of Proposition 10. The semireflexivity is a consequence of [6, Corollaire 2, p. 118].

Remark. *(1) A. Grothendieck's hypotheses "$\mathcal{H}$ complete, F complete and semireflexive" are weakened by the assumption of quasicompleteness at the expense of the additional hypothesis of the strict approximation property for $\mathcal{H}$. The completeness of the strong dual $(\mathcal{H}\widehat{\otimes}F)'_b$ is implied by the existence of an additional space F_0 with the corresponding property.*

(2) By checking the hypotheses of Proposition 9 we have shown in Proposition 5 that

$$(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b \cong \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}.$$

Two other applications to concrete distribution spaces are:

$$(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}')'_b \cong \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}_{L^1} = \overline{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})$$

with $F_0 = (\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}, \kappa(\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty}, \mathcal{D}_{L^1}))$, and

$$(\mathcal{D}'\widehat{\otimes}c_0)'_b \cong \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\ell^1 = \overline{\mathcal{D}}(\ell^1)$$

with $F_0 = (\ell^\infty, \kappa(\ell^\infty, \ell^1)$.

(3) As an application of Proposition 9 we see that spaces like $\mathcal{S}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ or $\mathcal{O}_M\widehat{\otimes}c_0$ are distinguished. This does not follow from [6, Chap. II, §3, n°2, Corollaire 2, p. 77]. In fact, $(\mathcal{S}'\widehat{\otimes}\dot{\mathcal{B}})'_b \cong \mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ and $\mathcal{S}\widehat{\otimes}_\iota\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ is barrelled by [6, Chap. I, p. 78].

The proof of Proposition 9 rests on a generalization of Grothendieck's Corollary on duality (cf. [6, Chap. II, §4, n°1, Lemme 9, Corollaire, p. 90]) which we prove now.

Proposition 10 (Duals of tensor products)**.**
Hypothesis 1: Let E be a nuclear, F a locally convex space.

Then:

(i) Every element u of the dual $\mathcal{B}(E,F) = (E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)' = (E\otimes_\pi F)'$ is the image (under a canonical mapping) of an element u_0 of a space $E'_A\widehat{\otimes}_\pi E'_B$, where A and B are absolutely convex, weakly closed, equicontinuous subsets of E' and F', respectively.

(ii) If the element u_ι of $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F' \subset E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ defined by u_0 is zero then u is zero, from which we have a canonical injection of $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ into $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F' \subset E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$.

(iii) $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ *is dense in* $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ *and strictly dense in* $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$.

If, in addition, we have

Hypothesis 2: E *is quasicomplete and has the strict approximation property and* F *is quasicomplete,*

then we obtain:

(iv) The topology t_ι *induced from* $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ *on* $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ *is finer than the topology* t_b *of* $(E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'_b$, *i.e.,* $t_\iota \geq t_b$.

If, in addition, we have

Hypothesis 3: F *is semireflexive,*

then we obtain:

(v) The topology induced from $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ *on* $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ *is identical with the topology of the strong dual* $(E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'_b$, *i.e.,* $t_\iota = t_b$. $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ *is the completion of* $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$.

(vi) $(E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'_b \cong E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ *if and only if* $(E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'_b$ *is complete.*
$(E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'_b \cong E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ *if and only if* $(E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'_b$ *is quasi-complete.*

(vii) $E\widehat{\otimes}F$ *is semireflexive.*

Proof. We shall modify the proof of Grothendieck and give more details.

(i) If $u \in \mathcal{B}(E,F)$ then the nuclearity of E implies the existence of zero-neighborhoods U in E and V in F and of sequences (e'_n) in E'_{U° and (f'_n) in F'_{V° such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\|_{U^\circ}\, \|f'_n\|_{V^\circ} < \infty \tag{10}$$

and

$$u(e,f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle e, e'_n\rangle \langle f, f'_n\rangle \qquad \forall (e,f) \in E \times F \tag{11}$$

by [6, Chap. II, §2, n°1, Corollaire 4 to Théorème 6, p. 39] or [10, 21.3.5, p. 487]. Setting $A := U^\circ$, $B := V^\circ$ we then define $u_0 \in E'_A \widehat{\otimes}_\pi E'_B$ by

$$u_0 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e'_n \otimes f'_n.$$

The series in (11) converges because

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle e, e'_n\rangle\langle f, f'_n\rangle| \le \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\|_{U^\circ}\, \|f'_n\|_{V^\circ}\right) \cdot \|e\|_U \cdot \|f\|_V$$

due to Lemma 11 and inequality (10). Moreover, $u_0 = \sum e'_n \otimes f'_n$ converges in the Banach space $E'_A \widehat{\otimes}_\pi E'_B$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_0\| = \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(e'_n \otimes f'_n)\right\| &= \inf\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\|_{U^\circ}\, \|b_n\|_{V^\circ} \;:\; u_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \otimes b_n \right\} \\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\|_{U^\circ}\, \|f'_n\|_{V^\circ}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Next let us describe in detail *the canonical mapping* $E'_A \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'_B \to \mathcal{B}(E,F)$ as the composition of the following three mappings

$$E'_A \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'_B \to (E_U)' \widehat{\otimes}_\pi (F_V)' \to (E_U \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F_V)' \to (E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)' = \mathcal{B}(E,F). \qquad (12)$$

For *the first mapping in* (12) we use that ${}^t\Phi_U \colon (E_U)' \to E'_A$ is an isomorphism with inverse $({}^t\Phi_U)^{-1} \colon E'_A \to (E_U)'$.

The *second mapping in* (12) is the continuous extension of the linear map on $(E_U)' \otimes (E_V)'$ corresponding to the continuous bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned}(E_U)' \times (E_V)' &\to (E_U \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F_V)', \\ (e', f') &\mapsto e' \otimes f'.\end{aligned}$$

The *third mapping in* (12) is given as the transpose of $\Phi_U \otimes \Phi_V \colon E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \to E_U \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F_V$.

The image of u_0 *in* $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ *coincides with* u*:* denoting the image of u_0 in all spaces appearing in (12) by u_0 we obtain by going from right to left in the composition above:

$$\begin{aligned}u_0(e,f) = u_0(e \otimes f) &= u_0(\Phi_U(e) \otimes \Phi_V(f)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ({}^t\Phi_U)^{-1} e'_n \otimes ({}^t\Phi_V)^{-1} f'_n\right) \big(\Phi_U(e) \otimes \Phi_V(f)\big) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \big\langle \Phi_U(e), ({}^t\Phi_U)^{-1} e'_n \big\rangle \big\langle \Phi_V(f), ({}^t\Phi_V)^{-1} f'_n \big\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle e, e'_n\rangle\langle f, f'_n\rangle = u(e,f).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) We also have a canonical mapping

$$({}^t\Phi_U) \otimes ({}^t\Phi_V)\colon E'_A \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'_B = E'_A \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'_B \to E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F' \subset E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$$

which maps u_0 to an element $u_\iota \in E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$. Due to

$$\big(({}^t\Phi_U) \otimes ({}^t\Phi_V)\big)(u_0) = u_0 \circ (\Phi_U \otimes \Phi_V) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(E,F)$$

we conclude from $u_\iota = 0$ that u_0 vanishes on $\widehat{E}_U \times \widehat{F}_V$. By [6, Chap. I, §5, n°2, Corollaire 1, p. 181] or [10, Theorem 18.3.4, p. 406] the canonical mapping

$$E'_A \widehat{\otimes} F'_B \to \mathcal{B}(\widehat{E}_U, \widehat{F}_V)$$

is injective because the zero-neighborhood U can be chosen in such a manner that $\widehat{E}_U$ is a Hilbert space [6, Chap. II, §2, n°1, Lemme 3, p. 37], and, therefore, $\widehat{E}_U$ is reflexive and has the approximation property. Hence, the vanishing of u_0 on $\widehat{E}_U \times \widehat{F}_V$ implies $u_0 = 0$ and a fortiori $u = 0$.

(iii) follows from $E' \otimes F' \subset \mathcal{B}(E,F) \subset E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F' \subset E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$.

(iv) If, in addition, Hypothesis 2 is fulfilled, we obtain by Lemma 12 below that $E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \cong \mathcal{B}^h_\varepsilon(E'_c, F'_c)$. Two topologies on $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ can be defined corresponding to the following two dual systems (cf. [18, Chap. III, §2, p. 46] or [8, Chap. 3, §2, p. 183]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \big(\mathcal{B}(E,F), & E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \;\cong\; \mathcal{B}^h_\varepsilon(E'_c, F'_c)\big) \\ {\scriptstyle j}\big\downarrow & \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad {\scriptstyle m}\big\downarrow \\ \big(E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F', & (E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F')'_\varepsilon \cong \mathcal{B}^s_\varepsilon(E', F')\big). \end{array}$$

The mapping j is defined as the injection

$$\mathcal{B}(E,F) \to E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F', \quad u \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e'_n \otimes f'_n$$

investigated in (i) and (ii).

The mapping m is the injection of the space $\mathcal{B}^h(E'_c, F'_c)$ of hypocontinuous bilinear forms on $E'_c \times F'_c$ into the space $\mathcal{B}^s(E', F')$ of separately continuous bilinear forms on $E' \times F'$. Furthermore, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} k\colon E \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F &\to \mathcal{B}^h_\varepsilon(E'_c, F'_c), \quad z \mapsto [(e', f') \mapsto \langle e' \otimes f', z\rangle], \\ \ell\colon \mathcal{B}^s(E', F') &\to (E' \widehat{\otimes}_\iota F')', \quad \ell(w)(e' \otimes f') = w(e', f'). \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, we consider on $\mathcal{B}(E,F)$ the relative topology t_ι with respect to the embedding j. Because the topology of $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ is the topology of uniform convergence on equicontinuous subsets of $(E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F')' = \mathcal{B}^s(E',F')$ and equicontinuous subsets of this dual space are precisely the equicontinuous subsets of $\mathcal{B}^s(E',F')$ [6, Chap. I, §3, n°1, Proposition 13, p. 73] we see that a zero-neighborhoodbase of t_ι is given by the sets $j^{-1}(\ell(B')^\circ)$ with separately equicontinuous subsets B' of $\mathcal{B}^s(E',F')$.

Let us now show that t_ι is finer than t_b; in particular, that for a given bounded set B in $E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$ the set $B' := m(k(B))$ is separately equicontinuous and that $j^{-1}(\ell(B')^\circ) = B^\circ$:

If $B \subset E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \cong \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^h(E_c',F_c')$ is bounded the set $B(.,f')$, for fixed $f' \in F'$, is bounded on all equicontinuous sets U°, U an absolutely convex, closed zero-neighborhood in E, i.e., $\forall U\ \exists \lambda_U > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{e'\in U^\circ} |B(e',f')| \leq \lambda_U,$$

so $B(.,f') \subset \lambda_U U^{\circ\circ} = \lambda_U U$ due to $(E_c')' = E$. Hence, $B(.,f')$ is bounded in E and $B(.,f')^\circ$ is a zero-neighborhood in E_b', which means that $B(.,f')$ is equicontinuous on E_b'. Similarly, $B(e',.)$ is equicontinuous on F_b' for $e' \in E'$ fixed. Therefore, $B' := m(k(B))$ is a separately equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{B}^s(E',F')$ which implies that $(\ell(B'))^\circ$ is a zero-neighborhood in t_ι, which together with $j^{-1}(\ell(B')^\circ) = B^\circ$ will imply $t_b \leq t_\iota$.

In order to prove $j^{-1}(\ell(B')^\circ) = B^\circ$ for a given bounded subset $B \subset E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$ with $B' = m(k(B))$ we write down the involved mappings explicitly. Let $u \in \mathcal{B}(E,F)$ with $j(u) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty e_n' \otimes f_n'$ and $z \in E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle j(u), \ell(m(k(z)))\rangle &\overset{①}{=} \ell(m(k(z)))\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n' \otimes f_n'\right)\\ &\overset{②}{=} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \ell(m(k(z)))(e_n' \otimes f_n') \overset{③}{=} \sum_{n=1}^\infty m(k(z))(e_n', f_n')\\ &\overset{④}{=} \sum_{n=1}^\infty k(z)(e_n', f_n') \overset{⑤}{=} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (e_n' \otimes f_n')(z) \overset{⑥}{=} \langle u, z\rangle\end{aligned}$$

① is the definition of j, ② follows from the continuity of $\ell(m(k(z)))$. ③, ④ and ⑤ are the definitions of ℓ, m and k, respectively. The equality ⑥ is a consequence of the equality for z in the strictly dense subspace $E \otimes F$ of

$E\widehat{\otimes}F$ and the continuity of

$$z \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(e'_n \otimes f'_n)(z)$$

which follows from the representation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(e'_n \otimes f'_n)(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\|_{U^\circ} \|f'_n\|_{V^\circ} \left(\frac{e'_n}{\|e'_n\|_{U^\circ}} \otimes \frac{f'_n}{\|f'_n\|_{V^\circ}}\right)(z)$$

and the inequality (using Lemma 11)

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(e'_n \otimes f'_n)(z)\right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\|_{U^\circ} \|f'_n\|_{V^\circ} \left|\left(\frac{e'_n}{\|e'_n\|_{U^\circ}} \otimes \frac{f'_n}{\|f'_n\|_{V^\circ}}\right)(z)\right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\|_{U^\circ} \|f'_n\|_{V^\circ} \left\|\frac{e'_n}{\|e'_n\|_{U^\circ}} \otimes \frac{f'_n}{\|f'_n\|_{V^\circ}}\right\|_{U^\circ\otimes V^\circ} \|z\|_{U\otimes V} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e'_n\|_{U^\circ} \|f'_n\|_{V^\circ}\right) \|z\|_{U\otimes V}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the sets $\{e'_n/\|e'_n\|_{U^\circ}\}$ and $\{f'_n/\|f'_n\|_{V^\circ}\}$ are equicontinuous and hence also their tensor product is equicontinuous by [25, p. 14].

(v) The space E is nuclear and quasicomplete and, hence, E is semireflexive (see [21, Prop. 3, exp. 17, p. 5], [6, Chap. II, Cor. 1, p. 38] and [8, Cor. to Prop. 3.15.4, p. 277 and Prop. 3.9.1, p. 231]). Thus, with Hypothesis 3 both spaces E and F are semireflexive. Moreover, by [6, Chap. I, p. 11]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}^s(E'_b, F'_b) &= \mathcal{L}(E'_b, (F'_b)'_\sigma) = \mathcal{L}(E'_b, (F'_\sigma)'_\sigma) \\ &= \mathcal{B}^s(E'_b, F'_\sigma) = \ldots = \mathcal{B}^s(E'_\sigma, F'_\sigma), \\ \mathcal{B}^s(E'_c, F'_c) &= \mathcal{B}^s(E'_\sigma, F'_\sigma) \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{B}^h(E'_b, F'_b) &= \mathcal{B}^s(E'_b, F'_b),\end{aligned}$$

because E'_b and F'_b are barrelled [8, Prop. 3.8.4, p. 228]. Hence,

$$\mathcal{B}^h_\varepsilon(E'_c, F'_c) = \mathcal{B}^h_\varepsilon(E'_b, F'_b) = \mathcal{B}^s_\varepsilon(E'_\sigma, F'_\sigma).$$

Let us show that t_b is finer than t_ι, $t_b \geq t_\iota$: if $B \subset \mathcal{B}^s(E', F')$ is separately equicontinuous, B is pointwise bounded such that Mackey's theorem [4, IV.1, Prop. 1 (ii)] implies its boundedness in $\mathcal{B}^s_\varepsilon(E'_\sigma, F'_\sigma)$, i.e., B is bounded in $E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F$. In virtue of (iii), $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ is the completion of $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$ and $E'\widehat{\otimes}_\iota F'$ its quasi-completion.

(vi) follows from $(E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)' = \mathcal{B}(E,F)$.

(vii) is a consequence of [6, Chap. I, §4, n°2, Corollaire of Prop. 24, p. 118]. □

Lemma 11. *Let U be an absolutely convex zero-neighborhood in E, $e' \in E'_{U^\circ}$ and $e \in E$. Then $|\langle e, e'\rangle| \leq \|e\|_U \|e'\|_{U^\circ}$.*

Proof. The inequality follows either by restricting the scalar product on $E \times E'$ to the Banach spaces $E_U \times E'_{U^\circ}$ (taking into account that $(E_U)' = E'_{U^\circ}$) or, more elementary:

$$\|e'\|_{U^\circ} = \sup_{e\in U} |\langle e, e'\rangle| \Longrightarrow \forall e \in U : |\langle e, e'\rangle| \leq \|e'\|_{U^\circ}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \forall e \in E, \forall \varepsilon > 0 : \left|\langle \frac{e}{\|e\|_U + \varepsilon}, e'\rangle\right| \leq \|e'\|_{U^\circ} ,$$

i.e., $|\langle e, e'\rangle| \leq \|e\|_U \|e'\|_{U^\circ}$. □

Lemma 12. *By assuming the hypotheses 1 and 2 on E and F we have $E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \cong \mathcal{B}^h_\varepsilon(E'_c, F'_c)$.*

Proof. The nuclearity of E implies that $E\widehat{\otimes}_\pi F = E\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$. By the quasi-completeness of E and F and the strict approximation property of E, we see that $E\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F = E\,\varepsilon\, F = \mathcal{B}^h_\varepsilon(E'_c, F'_c)$ [24, Corollaire 1, p. 47]. □

5 Decomposition of the space $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy}$

As mentioned in the introduction the decomposition

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{xy} = \dot{\mathcal{B}}\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y$$

is proven in [24, Proposition 17, p. 59]. It is an analogue of A. Grothendieck's example

$$\mathcal{C}_0(M \times N) = \mathcal{C}_0(M)\widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \mathcal{C}_0(N)$$

for locally compact topological spaces M and N [6, Chap. I, p. 90]. Thus, it seems to us of its own interest to state an analogue decomposition of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy}$, besides its applicability in proving the equivalence (1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (3) hinted at in the introduction.

Proposition 13 (Decomposition of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy}$)**.**

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \,\varepsilon\, \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y).$$

Proof. First, we see that the isomorphism $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} \cong \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y$ is a consequence of the sequence-space representation $\dot{\mathcal{B}}' \cong c_0 \widehat{\otimes} s'$ [2, Theorem 3, p. 13], A. Grothendieck's example above and the commutativity of the ε-product:

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} \cong c_{0,jm} \widehat{\otimes} s'_{kl} \cong (c_{0,j} \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon c_{0,m}) \widehat{\otimes} (s'_k \widehat{\otimes} s'_l) \cong (c_{0,j} \widehat{\otimes} s'_k) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon (c_{0,m} \widehat{\otimes} s'_l) \cong \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y.$$

Alternatively, for the algebraic equality $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y$, the characterization of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'$ by regularization yields for $K(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}'_{xy}$:

$$K(x,y) \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} \Longleftrightarrow K(x-z, y-w) \in \mathcal{D}'_{zw} \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_{xy}$$

and, hence, by [24, Prop. 17, p. 59 and Prop. 28, p. 98]

$$\Longleftrightarrow K(x-z, y-w) \in (\mathcal{D}'_z \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}'_w) \widehat{\otimes} (\dot{\mathcal{B}}_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y).$$

By the commutativity of the ε-product we obtain

$$\Longleftrightarrow K(x-z, y-w) \in \mathcal{D}'_z(\dot{\mathcal{B}}_x(\mathcal{D}'_w \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y)),$$

and by the vectorial regularization property [1, Proposition 15, p. 11]

$$\begin{aligned} &\Longleftrightarrow K(x, y-w) \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x(\mathcal{D}'_w \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y) = (\mathcal{D}'_w \widehat{\otimes} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_y)(\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x) \\ &\Longleftrightarrow K(x,y) \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y(\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x) = \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x(\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y). \end{aligned}$$

For the topological equality we show that any 0-neighborhood in $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \,\varepsilon\, \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y = \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,x}, \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y)$ is a neighborhood in $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy}$, i.e., the topology of $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy}$ is finer than the topology on $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy}$ induced by $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y$, i.e., $\mathrm{Id}\colon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} \to \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y$ is continuous: a base of 0-neighborhoods in $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{D}_{L^1,c,x}, \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x)$ is given by means of bounded subsets $B_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1,x}, B_2 \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1,y}$ in the form of sets

$$\begin{aligned} W(B_1, B_2^\circ) &= \{\, K(x,y) \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \,\varepsilon\, \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y : K(B_1) \subset B_2^\circ \,\} \\ &= \{\, K \in \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy} : |K(B_1, B_2)| \leq 1 \,\} \end{aligned}$$

But the set $W(B_1, B_2^\circ)$ is a 0-neighborhood in $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{xy}$ because $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is a bounded set in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1,xy}$. Hereby we made use of $(\dot{\mathcal{B}}')' \cong \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$.

Due to the isomorphism

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y \cong c_{0,jm} \widehat{\otimes} s'_{kl}$$

the space $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_x \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon \dot{\mathcal{B}}'_y$ is ultrabornological (apply [27, Proposition 2, p. 75]). As seen in the proof (2.) of Proposition 7, the space $\dot{\mathcal{B}}'_{xy}$ is also ultrabornological. Hence, [6, Chap. I, Théorème B, p. 17] implies that Id is an isomorphism. □

Acknowledgments. E. A. Nigsch was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grants P23714 and P26859.

References

[1] C. Bargetz, E. A. Nigsch, N. Ortner. "Convolvability and regularization of distributions." Submitted for publication, 2015. arXiv: 1505.04599.

[2] C. Bargetz. "Completing the Valdivia–Vogt tables of sequence-space representations of spaces of smooth functions and distributions." *Monatsh. Math.* 177.1 (2015), pp. 1–14. ISSN: 0026-9255. DOI: 10.1007/s00605-014-0650-2.

[3] K. D. Bierstedt, R. Meise. "Induktive Limites gewichteter Räume stetiger und holomorpher Funktionen." *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 282 (1976), pp. 186–220, ISSN: 0075-4102.

[4] N. Bourbaki. *Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Chapitres 1 à 5.* Éleménts de mathématique. Paris: Masson, 1981. ISBN: 978-2-225-68410-4.

[5] A. Grothendieck. "Sur les espaces (F) et (DF)." *Summa Brasil. Math.* 3 (1954), pp. 57–121.

[6] A Grothendieck. "Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires." *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* 16 (1955). ISSN: 0065-9266.

[7] Y. Hirata, R. Shiraishi. "On partial summability and convolutions in the theory of vector valued distributions." *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A* 24 (1960), pp. 535–562.

[8] J. Horváth. *Topological vector spaces and distributions.* Vol. 1. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1966. ISBN: 978-0-486-48850-9.

[9] J. Horváth. "Viejos y nuevos resultados sobre integrales singulares e hipersingulares." *Rev. Acad. Colomb. Cienc.* 29.113 (2005), pp. 547–569. ISSN: 0370-3908.

[10] H. Jarchow. *Locally Convex Spaces*. Stuttgart: B. G. Teubner, 1981. ISBN: 978-3-519-02224-4.

[11] G. Köthe. *Topological vector spaces I*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 159. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1969. ISBN: 978-0-387-04509-2.

[12] G. Köthe. *Topological vector spaces II*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 237. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1979. ISBN: 978-0-387-90400-9.

[13] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes. "Sur certains aspects des problèmes aux limites non homogenes pour des opérateurs paraboliques." *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Sci. Fis. Mat., III. Ser.* 18 (1964), pp. 303–344. ISSN: 0036-9918.

[14] M. Oberguggenberger. *Der Graphensatz in lokalkonvexen topologischen Vektorräumen*. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik 44. Leipzig: Teubner, 1982.

[15] N. Ortner. "Sur la convolution des distributions." *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 290.12 (1980), pp. A533–A536. ISSN: 0151-0509.

[16] N. Ortner. "On convolvability conditions for distributions." *Monatsh. Math.* 160.3 (2010), pp. 313–335. ISSN: 0026-9255. DOI: 10.1007/s00605-008-0087-6.

[17] N. Ortner, P. Wagner. *Distribution-valued analytic functions. Theory and applications.* Hamburg: tredition, 2013. ISBN: 978-3-8491-1968-3.

[18] A. P. Robertson, W. Robertson. *Topological vector spaces*. 2nd ed. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 53. London: Cambridge University Press, 1973. ISBN: 978-0-521-20124-7.

[19] W. Robertson. "On the closed graph theorem and spaces with webs." *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 24 (1972), pp. 692–738. ISSN: 0024-6115.

[20] H. H. Schaefer. *Topological Vector Spaces*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971. ISBN: 978-0-387-05380-6.

[21] L. Schwartz. Séminaire Schwartz. Paris, 1953–1954.

[22] L. Schwartz. "Espaces de fonctions différentiables à valeurs vectorielles." *J. Anal. Math.* 4.1 (1955), pp. 88–148. DOI: 10.1007/BF02787718.

[23] L. Schwartz. "Distributions semi-régulières et changements de coordonnées." *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 36 (1957), pp. 109–127. ISSN: 0021-7824.

[24] L. Schwartz. "Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles." *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 7 (1957). ISSN: 0373-0956. DOI: 10.5802/aif.68.

[25] L. Schwartz. "Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles II." *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 8 (1958). ISSN: 0373-0956. DOI: 10.5802/aif.77.

[26] L. Schwartz. *Théorie des distributions.* Nouvelle édition, entièrement corrigée, refondue et augmentée. Paris: Hermann, 1966.

[27] R. Shiraishi, Y. Hirata. "Convolution maps and semi-group distributions." *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A-I* 28 (1964), pp. 71–88. ISSN: 0386-3026.

[28] M. Valdivia. "A representation of the space $\mathcal{O}_M$." *Math. Z.* 177 (1981), pp. 463–478. ISSN: 0025-5874. DOI: 10.1007/BF01219081.

[29] D. Vogt. "Sequence space representations of spaces of test functions and distributions." In: *Functional analysis, holomorphy, and approximation theory* (Rio de Janeiro, 1979). Ed. by G. I. Zapata. Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math 83. New York: Dekker, 1983, pp. 405–443. ISBN: 978-0-8247-1634-9.